Early adopters of customer relationship management systems were often disappointed by high costs and elusive benefits. Now some companies are reaping strong returns on their CRM investments.

CRM Done Right
by Darrell K. Rigby and Dianne Ledingham

Through the late 1990s and into 2000, managers plowed millions of dollars into information systems meant to track and strengthen customer relationships. Often built around complex software packages, these customer relationship management (CRM) systems promised to allow companies to respond efficiently, and at times instantly, to shifting customer desires, thereby bolstering revenues and retention while reducing marketing costs. But most firms failed to reap the expected benefits, and as executives dramatically reduced IT expenses in subsequent years, CRM sales plummeted. After rising 28% between 1999 and 2000, CRM sales dropped by 5% in 2001, 25% in 2002, and 17% in 2003, according to the technology market research firm Gartner. Many observers came to believe that CRM was destined to join enterprise resource planning (ERP) as another overhyped IT investment whose initial unmet promise nearly killed off the approach.

But something unexpected has happened: Senior executives have become considerably more enthusiastic about CRM. In 2003, Bain & Company’s annual Management Tools Survey of 708 global executives found that firms actually began to report increased satisfaction with their CRM investments. In 2001, CRM had ranked near the bottom of a list of 25 possible tools global executives would choose. Two years later, it had moved into the top half. In fact, 82% of surveyed executives said they planned to employ CRM in their companies in 2003—a large jump from the 35% who employed it in 2000. Today, CRM spending appears to be picking up. Gartner forecasts that overall CRM sales will rise another 10% by the end of 2005. So what’s changed? Why has disappointment turned to satisfaction, pessimism to optimism, cutbacks to new spending?

To answer these questions, we studied a wide range of companies that have recently been successful in implementing CRM systems, and we discovered some common threads in their experiences. Most important, they’ve all taken a pragmatic, disciplined approach to CRM, launching highly focused projects that are relatively narrow in their scope and modest in their goals. Rather than use CRM to transform entire businesses, they’ve directed their investments toward solving clearly defined problems within their customer relationship cycle—the series of activities that runs from the initial segmenting and targeting of customers all the way through to wooing them back for more.

The successful users have also exhibited a healthy skepticism, discounting overblown claims that the ultimate pay-
back from a CRM system is the creation of a “real-time enterprise.” Understanding that highly accurate and timely data are not required everywhere in their businesses, they’ve tailored their real-time CRM initiatives to those parts of their customer relationships that truly do depend on “perfect” information. Once they’ve succeeded with the smaller, more-targeted CRM project, they’ve used their initial investments as springboards for solving additional problems.

We’ve distilled the experiences of the CRM leaders into four questions that all companies should ask themselves as they launch their CRM initiatives:  
- Is it strategic?
- Where does it hurt?
- Do we need perfect data?
- Where do we go from here?

The questions reflect a new realism about when and how to deploy CRM to its best advantage. When Darrell Rigby, Fred Reichheld, and Phil Schefter took stock of CRM’s effectiveness nearly three years ago (in “Avoid the Four Perils of CRM,” February 2002), lots of companies were still placing big bets that the technology would pay off—somehow. Lacking clear customer strategies and the organizational structures to support them, many firms got burned and grew distrustful of CRM. The difficult lessons such organizations learned have led them to sharpen their customer strategies, setting the stage for real gains from more-focused CRM applications.

In this article, we’ll show how several companies have implemented successful CRM efforts—aircraft parts distributor Aviall, consumer product giant Kimberly-Clark, diversified equipment maker Ingersoll-Rand, home- and office-machine company Brother International, and electronic connector manufacturer Molex. We’ll also lay out some basic considerations that can help firms determine which CRM projects are likely to yield the most value.

**Is It Strategic?**

There’s no getting around it: A CRM program involves complicated business and technology issues and requires significant investments of time and money. CRM is not a tool for buffing a company’s performance at the edges; it should be applied only to processes vital to a company’s competitiveness—those that can distance a firm from its competitors or keep a function (such as call center response time) on par with the rest of the industry when parity counts. If the target is not truly strategic, the organization will be hard-pressed to summon the vigor necessary to tackle entrenched business processes or retool its organizational structure and garner expected returns. Before spending a dime on CRM, therefore, executives need to make sure they have the right targets in their sights.

Paul Fulchino knew the stakes involved when he brought CRM into Aviall after being appointed CEO in 2000. Fulchino had ambitious plans to transform the Dallas-based distributor of aircraft parts into the premier vendor of supply chain management services to the aviation industry. By becoming the preferred partner of both the big original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and the commercial and military fleet owners, Aviall could consolidate customer demand and extend its reach worldwide, which would reinvigorate its sales and strengthen its margins.

But Fulchino faced a daunting obstacle to realizing his vision: Poor information and cumbersome processes hampered the company’s sales and service operation. Difficulties with an existing IT system had increased sales reps’ workloads, sometimes keeping salespeople trapped in local branches, helping managers input order information instead of making sales calls. What’s more, the company hadn’t trained the sales reps in proper time and territory
management, which led to inefficient phone call routing and haphazard calling schedules. Customer inquiries were often routed to distant call centers that lacked up-to-date data on orders, products, and prices.

The weak customer service left key accounts vulnerable to competitors' attacks and undermined the company's ability to charge the premium prices typically associated with flawless product delivery. A better-trained and more proactive sales force was a strategic necessity. Without one, Fulchino's aggressive plans for the company would go unfulfilled. So the new CEO, working closely with his sales and marketing head, Jim Quinn, and his technology chief, Joe Lacik, dedicated Aviall's initial CRM outlays to this critical challenge. Rather than attempt a full-scale implementation of a broad CRM program, the executives took a more focused approach, installing only the sales force, order entry, and call center applications to begin with. Their goal was to coordinate customer information seamlessly from the outside sales agents, first to the inside sales support staff, then to the customer service representatives who were managing the company's 36 regional call centers. The relatively narrow focus allowed the sales force to become familiar with the system without being overwhelmed and delivered quick victories that helped win broader management and line support and gather momentum behind the project.

The gains were striking. Before having the CRM system, the sales force relied on an outmoded database for managing client information. The system's inflexibility made it difficult for sales and service staffers to get even basic information on a customer's order history and credit status. "There's nothing more frustrating than having a customer spend 15 minutes on an order and then realizing at the very end that there's a credit issue," says Lacik. In the old system, credit problems didn't get flagged until you tried to place the order. Then the credit group would be called in, and you either had to have the customer on hold for a long time or call them back. In our business, there's a moment of truth: You have to have the right product, the right information, and the right price. If you don't have those three things put together, you lose the call—and if you lose the call, 90% of the time you lose the sale."

With the new system, a customer's credit history instantly popped up on the order screen. The rich information the new system provided allowed Jim Quinn to flip a switch in the sales force. It helped the agents get organized and spurred them to make more customer calls, knowing they could immediately deliver firm quotes on tailored sets of products or services. Placing an order had once required them to go through 11 screens and nearly 50 steps; now they could do it with one screen and ten steps. Just four months into rolling out the CRM system, the number of daily sales calls tripled, and the customer base grew by 33%. In fact, the productivity of the entire sales and service operation skyrocketed, helping Aviall recapture market share and win large orders for new product lines. The number of orders handled per day jumped from 1,000 to 2,500, even as error rates declined, with no increase in staff.

The expanded capacity, together with the improvements in service, have built the platform the company needed to restructure itself as a full-service provider of aviation logistics support. Aviall's sales and profits have grown rapidly, and it has steadily stolen market share from competitors. In a testament to Aviall's success, engine maker Rolls-Royce recently awarded the firm a ten-year supply contract worth $3 billion—the largest deal ever struck by any company in the industry. Says CIO Lacik: "We showed Rolls-Royce the level of visibility we had into our customer base—visibility that we could share with them to give them a deeper understanding of customer buying trends and behavior. A simple analysis showed Rolls-Royce that it had several years' worth of supply in some products while being understocked in others because it was not matching manufacturing adequately with customer demand. That was a pivotal moment in winning the contract." Tightly focused on a single area of critical strategic importance, CRM has become a linchpin of Aviall's reinvention.

Where Does It Hurt?

It's possible to use CRM systems to manage the entire customer relationship cycle all at once—initial purchase, after-sales service, subsequent purchases, recommendations to other customers (for the full range of functions a CRM system can automate, see the exhibit, "The Customer Relationship Cycle"). But as the most aggressive early adopters found, that's usually a bad idea. Such an approach ends up creating unused technology capacity, causes unnecessary business disruptions, and ultimately fails the payback test. When companies carefully examine their customer relationship cycles, they usually find some deep-seated, pernicious problems in a few areas that undermine overall performance. It is these pain points that should be the focus of the CRM effort.

For Kimberly-Clark, one of the world's leading consumer packaged-goods companies, the pain point lay in its vast retailer promotions operation. The manufacturer was running thousands of promotions every year, usually offering a discount on a particular product to a particular retailer, but it was unable to accurately gauge the success of any of
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The Customer Relationship Cycle

A comprehensive CRM system can, in theory, automate every aspect of a company’s relationship with its customers, from all the activities needed to target customers through those for product development, sales, service, and retention. But smart companies sharply focus their CRM implementations, carefully choosing which segment of the cycle, and which functions within that segment, are likely to deliver the greatest return on an initial CRM investment. Success with this first effort often lights the way to subsequent projects—automating additional functions in the same segment (as Kimberly-Clark did), steadily moving from segment to segment (as Brother did), or even moving to critical business processes beyond CRM (as Molex did).

The firm had aggregate numbers on its trade promotions, but it couldn’t break them down by individual customer, product, or shipment. As a result, Kimberly-Clark found itself spending huge quantities of marketing dollars, uncertain which promotions were producing retailer loyalty, shelf space, and sales, and which were going to waste. Company executives therefore reasoned that starting with a modest, customized CRM system to collect and analyze promotion data could substantially improve the effectiveness of its overall customer relationship cycle.

Kimberly-Clark started by building onto an existing software program for account management, called Profit Calculator, which its sales department had developed to track investments in individual promotion efforts. By integrating that with shipment data, the enhanced system could go beyond just providing general information about whether ROI was positive or negative. It could more precisely measure the impact of a particular promotion on sales and profits for both Kimberly-Clark and its retailer customers. Says Bruce Paynter, Kimberly-Clark’s vice president for customer development: “Now we can see what the real-time impact on our sales and profit is when running promotions. Moreover, we can integrate this information into our sales and planning process with our customer.” Renamed Business Planner, the software became the heart of the company’s sales and marketing efforts: salespeople used the tool in the field to design promotional packages for specific retailers, while the company’s marketing staff used it to plot broader promotion plans.

Rolled out to all of Kimberly-Clark’s businesses in 2000, and supported by intensive training programs led by the organization’s top executives, Business Planner rapidly proved a success. In its first year, the system was used to manage more than 2,300 promotional events involving all of the company’s U.S. consumer product lines. “We applied real-time promotional-lift models [models of just how much a given promotion can lift sales] at the market, customer,
and category level to aid our planning efforts with customers," Paynter says. "Using the knowledge gained through the Business Planner, we have been able to redirect $30 million in marketing spending across all our U.S. consumer businesses to drive incremental sales and profit and further build brand for our customers and Kimberly-Clark."

Equally important, managers say, Business Planner armed customer representatives with consistent data and business rules, which has broadened their perspective. Rather than think purely of managing sales, they think in terms of managing the business. Today, key-account reps can assess likely financial results and engage in scenario planning jointly with retailers.

And their effectiveness in reducing pain in trade promotions has revealed new opportunities. Building on the success of its Business Planner software, Kimberly-Clark is now implementing a more ambitious system designed to reach beyond its retailer customers into a wide array of consumer-advertising and promotional activities. The enhanced suite, coined Brand Builder, helps the company plan and evaluate the success of individual activities—a freestanding coupon inserted into the Sunday papers, for instance—and measure the combined effect of a number of integrated activities.

The Brand Builder suite comprises three related components: It includes a state-of-the-art collaborative tool that lets sales agents, designers, vendors, and retailers plan promotions online. It puts marketing research and information learned about consumers online in real time. And by integrating promotional-spending data with scanner and financial information, it provides a powerful analytical tool. In fact, with the new analysis tool, Kimberly-Clark has moved from relieving a pain point for its retailer customers to making a science of marketing. The company now knows, for example, that the payback for some consumer promotion programs is twice as high as for others intended to produce the same results. With that kind of information, the firm can identify which elements of marketing—coupon value or creative impact, for instance—result in higher returns.

Focusing on pain points can not only be an effective way to build a successful CRM program but can also get an unsuccessful CRM initiative back on track. That was true for Ingersoll-Rand, the $10 billion diversified manufacturer.

In 2001, Club Car, the Ingersoll-Rand division that makes motorized golf carts, or "golf cars" as the company calls them, was showing signs of trouble, with revenues beginning to drift downward as an economic downturn hit the golf industry. But management lacked the information needed to diagnose the reasons for the slowing sales. Individual reps and order managers used their own idiosyncratic processes for dealing with customers. Sales forecasts were made informally using guesswork and rudimentary spreadsheets, and the sales force had little influence over product customization.

Realizing it needed much better information, Ingersoll-Rand rushed to roll out a broad CRM system that was supposed to incorporate everything from lead evaluation to proposal generation and from product configuration to order entry. But the effort proved too much for the organization to digest. Club Car's managers weren't convinced of the ultimate benefits. After spending more than $2 million and completing a first round of user testing, the company discovered that the system wasn't delivering the anticipated productivity gains and reporting capabilities. In fact, the system would dramatically increase the administrative workload of the field sales reps instead of freeing them to spend more time with customers. The unit's president had the foresight to halt the effort and made the organization back up and refine its goals. Club Car's management team took a fresh look at the key processes in its customer relationship cycle and refocused its CRM initiative on the two deepest pain points: forecasting sales and taking orders.

Today, just two years after the CRM effort was relaunched, Club Car has successfully automated its sales operations, significantly improving both customer service and business decision making. By more directly involving the sales force in the redesign of the system, carefully paring down the data and processes it encompassed, and improving the underlying technology, the company eliminated many of the CRM system's original drawbacks. Sales reps use the new system at customers' sites to modify the cars with them, and for the first time, the reps can see the financial implications of different configurations before setting prices and delivery dates. The order information the reps collect is automatically combined with general industry data on golf cart demand and equipment replacement cycles to generate reliable sales forecasts. That, in turn, has led to smoother, more predictable manufacturing schedules.

Do We Need Perfect Data?

Part of the early attraction of CRM systems lay in their ability to deliver real-time information—to give marketers, salespeople, and managers a clear picture of what's happening in the market at any particular moment. But perfect information comes at a high cost. The systems required to collect and disseminate it are expensive; so are the finely tuned processes needed to react quickly to it. Despite the hype surrounding real-time enterprises, the fact is that few companies need perfect information throughout their customer relationship cycles.

Why pay for real-time information on business processes that customers don't really value or that managers can't rapidly adjust? A hotel manager certainly needs real-time data on the availability of rooms but not on the customer's opinion of the carpets and drapes. A cable company needs real-time figures on service outages that demand immediate repairs but not on the profitability of its pay-per-view programs. Real-time information priorities are driven by real-time business opportunities and must be customized to each individual business. (See the sidebar "Calculating the Cost of CRM.")
Doctors commonly distinguish between routine aches ("Take two aspirin and call me in the morning") and perilous pains ("Meet me at the hospital in five minutes!"). Likewise, successful CRM practitioners have learned to distinguish between routine aches in the business ("Perhaps we might address that issue in our next five-year plan") and strategic pain points ("Fixing this problem will double our profits") before prescribing CRM solutions. Addressing strategic pain points typically promises superior financial rewards and the opportunity to build vital momentum for CRM programs. Here's how to identify them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routine Aches</th>
<th>Strategic Pain Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The problem is well known but minor, even though it affects some vociferous customers.</td>
<td>The problem is sometimes hidden but has a critical impact on the satisfaction and loyalty of the most valuable customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solutions are quickly and easily copied by any competitor.</td>
<td>Solving the problem creates a substantial and sustainable competitive advantage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The problem could have been fixed long ago without a CRM system.</td>
<td>Solving the problem cost effectively requires the speed, accuracy, and effectiveness of CRM technologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving the problem is not vital to the organization or its culture.</td>
<td>The solution will become a rallying point for the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving the problem would fix one immediate problem.</td>
<td>Solving the problem would create important new capabilities that would open up additional opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving the problem would deliver soft, unquantifiable benefits.</td>
<td>Solving the problem would deliver tangible financial returns that would justify further investment—even in difficult times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving the problem would not make much of a splash in the organization.</td>
<td>Solving the problem would represent a highly marketable success, both inside and outside the company.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Companies need to clearly distinguish between activities that truly demand perfect data and those that can get by with "good enough" information. The requirements for each are quite different. The approach Brother International took to its CRM implementation is a good case in point. The U.S.-based distribution arm of the Japanese maker of typewriters, printers, fax-printer-copiers, and sewing machines, Brother International faced a persistent problem: a high rate of product returns. A leading cause of the returns, the company believed, was dissatisfaction with service from its call center. In the late 1990s, as office products became more sophisticated, end users began to require more assistance. But Brother's call centers were answering only 46% of the queries coming in from new purchasers, and the quality of the help provided varied widely. Service representatives were failing to address recent buyers' questions and complaints. In particular, call center staffers lacked accurate customer information and quick access to solutions for callers' problems. To help customers troubleshoot technical issues, staffers often had to search through binders of product information. Frustrated consumers were returning their products to retailers.

Here, Brother's executives saw, was a pain point that could be remedied only through the provision of "perfect" information; they therefore looked to CRM to bolster their call centers. The company rolled out the new system in stages, starting with the printer call center in September 2001 and then adding centers at two- to four-week intervals. This staggered approach allowed Brother to refine the system as it was implemented and adjust the training program as circumstances warranted.

The results have been impressive. The system can identify customers as they call in, quickly locate their purchase records, and supply call center workers with standard responses to common questions. That's reduced individual call times by 43 seconds on average, resulting in substantial savings. Brother estimates, for example, that this year the total savings could reach $635,000. What's more, Brother is now answering an average of 140,000 calls a month, and the typical customer is left on hold for less than five minutes. The newly automated process has also cut the time required to train new call center operators, saving even more money. Product returns fell by a third, from 5.0% in fiscal 2000 to 3.4% the following year.

And the benefits reach beyond the call centers. Because the system can capture data on the nature of incoming calls, it has given the company important new insights into customers' needs and behavior. That has improved Brother's ability to tailor outreach campaigns, continued on page 128.
Calculating the Cost of CRM

With clear thinking and a basic grasp of decision diagrams, any manager can estimate the true value of information. Let's take a look at a disguised example we'll call Ace Grocery.

1 Do nothing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected value</th>
<th>Cost of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defect (50%)</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retain (50%)</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of customers = 800

2 Give $100 to everyone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected value</th>
<th>Cost of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defect (50%)</td>
<td>$720K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retain (50%)</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of customers = 900

3 Reward just the right customers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected value</th>
<th>Cost of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defect (50%)</td>
<td>$700K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retain (50%)</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$800K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of customers = 800

4 Purchase a CRM system

Todd Green, Ace's owner, was deeply concerned when a new competitor entered his area. A newspaper poll indicated that 20% of his customers planned to switch. But a consultant said that 50% of the potential defectors could be retained if Ace offered each $100 in retention rewards. Unfortunately, spending the $100 on loyal customers would probably not make them more loyal, and Ace didn't know which customers were which.

1 Do nothing

Ace had 1,000 customers, each worth an average of $1,000. If Todd did nothing, he'd retain only 80% of them, and Ace's value would fall from $1 million to $800,000.

2 Give $100 to everyone

Todd would lose fewer customers but would spend so much that the store's expected value would still fall to $800,000.

3 Reward just the right customers

If Todd could manage to offer $100 to all (and only) the potential defectors, Ace's expected value would only fall to $880,000. So $80,000 became the most Todd would pay for perfect information.

4 Purchase a CRM system

Todd called CRM Systems, a software vendor with the best package for predicting defectors. The system cost $20,000, but Todd estimated that additional implementation costs would add another $30,000, That $50,000 was still far below the $80,000 hurdle rate, so Todd asked for a meeting. He learned that the CRM system could correctly identify 60% of potential defectors. Unfortunately, 30% of loyal customers would also be identified as potential defectors. Todd calculated the value of this "imperfect" information.

Since the implementation of this software would drop Ace's value to $774,000, Todd rejected the proposal. Todd decided that the best approach was to offer all customers $100. Ace would retain 900 customers instead of 800, and his most loyal customers would not feel shortchanged.
3 Reward just the right customers

Expected value

- $20K

Retain (50%)

$100K

Number of customers = 900

4 Purchase a CRM system

Expected value

- $12K

Retain (50%)

$60K

Number of customers = 860

What Todd Learned

Through this process, Todd learned to address four critical questions.

How good is the information?

Todd knew that the value of information generally rises with its immediacy (making timely actions possible) and accuracy (making actions more productive). But Todd learned that reported accuracy often tells only half the story. Correctly predicting 60% of defectors sounded good until Todd’s analysis showed that 30% of the loyal 80% would also be wrongly labeled as potential defectors. That would mean that a customer identified as a potential defector would be a true defector only 33% of the time. Forecasting is not always more valuable than guessing.

What is it good for?

Information that helps satisfy customers is far more valuable than information that merely satisfies curiosity. Todd calculated that the imperfect CRM information combined with a $100 retention program that saved only 50% of vulnerable customers created an expected value of just $774,000. However, if the $100 retention program could save 100% of vulnerable customers, the same CRM system would create an expected value of $834,000. Of course, offering loyalty rewards to every customer would now create an even greater value—$900,000.

What are the costs?

Todd’s analysis demonstrated that the $20,000 CRM costs were swamped by the additional expenses of training, data collection, data analysis, information dissemination, and implementation programs. If Ace had failed to include these costs, it would have incorrectly calculated an expected value of $804,000, chosen to implement the system, and actually destroyed value.

Which results matter most?

Although expected values were necessary, they weren’t sufficient for the final decision. Several other considerations, including the number of retained customers and fairness to loyal patrons, proved crucial as Todd weighed Ace’s options.
McDonald's Tech Turnaround

It was nothing if not ambitious. In January 2001, fast-food giant McDonald's launched a five-year, $1 billion initiative to overhaul its information systems to enhance customer service at its more than 30,000 restaurants around the world. The vast effort, dubbed “Innovate,” involved installing a new, Internet-based data management infrastructure for the company that would cover everything from electronic links with suppliers to software for tracking customer purchasing patterns to sensors for remotely monitoring the temperature of fat in French-fry vats. The company believed that once the new systems were in place, it would be able to scrutinize every detail of its business in real time, ensuring that each outlet fine-tuned its operation to serve customers flawlessly.

But just two years after Innovate’s launch, a new CEO, Jim Cantalupo, pulled the plug on the project, announcing that McDonald’s would take a $170 million write-off related to the termination of the initiative. Facing financial pressures and an eroding stock price, Cantalupo (who died suddenly a year later in April 2004) determined that, to revitalize itself, McDonald’s needed to focus on two priorities: customers and existing restaurants. The massive IT program simply would not deliver enough improvements in those areas to justify the enormous expense and operational disruptions it presented.

McDonald’s might be an extreme example—billion-dollar IT initiatives remain rare—but it also offers encouraging news. After disbanding the Innovate initiative, the company launched a series of more modest CRM efforts—such as installing touch screen—ordering systems and improving a customer service hotline—aimed at solving particular customer relationship problems. These highly targeted efforts have contributed to a resurgence in sales and profits.

In 2002, therefore, the company installed a CRM system to manage its order pipeline. For the first time, executives from the CEO on down could see the full range of sales opportunities in real time. That made it possible to measure the real value of those opportunities and get updated information about them 24 hours a day, rather than just a few times a year.

The gains were immediate: improved order management, more precise sales targets, and better global coordination of inventory and pricing between regions. Since implementation, both the number and the value of potential sales in the pipeline have climbed significantly, as the sales staff has used the shared information to identify opportunities earlier. Molex’s management believes it is meeting the original goal of the project, which was a 5% increase in revenues.

Once the initial implementation was complete, moreover, management realized that the data being captured could also be used to improve budget planning. The pipeline data now form the foundation of the revenue portion of the budget process, and the company plans to use the information for parts forecasting and supply chain management as well.

Where Do We Go From Here?

As Kimberly-Clark, Brother, and Molex found, the data produced by a narrowly focused CRM system often reveal additional opportunities for important business refinements. And those refinements, taken together, can amount to a broad CRM application that extends across the company. The difference between this sort of wide-ranging CRM implementation and the recession CRM applications is that each step in building the system represents a carefully planned, well-defined advance in strategic thinking. Kimberly-Clark started with trade promotion management then extended its tool set to include total retailer customer management and, more recently, consumer management. Brother’s call centers have enhanced its U.S. marketing and out-
reach campaigns and even fed its product development and quality control processes on the other side of the world. Molex’s clear view into its order pipeline has led to improvements in budgeting, procurement, and supply chain management.

Smart CRM adopters don’t rest on their laurels. They rigorously analyze the data their systems produce to identify new, well-defined opportunities to extend the technology’s power. In most cases, these opportunities lie in activities adjacent to the customer relationship cycle, as the natural path of these companies’ CRM expansions show.

Aviall, for its part, plans to extend its CRM system in two directions—adding upstream links to its suppliers and downstream connections to its customers. These will give the firm an end-to-end view of the aviation supply chain, starting with the status of suppliers’ inventory and extending all the way to customers’ requirements for parts and maintenance. Because it will enable the firm to better match supply and demand, Aviall expects the expanded system to become an important source of competitive advantage.

It’s also often possible to extend the benefits of CRM to related business units. Ingersoll-Rand, for example, recognized that the customers of its Club Car division—golf courses—were also potential buyers of its other divisions’ products, such as Bobcat mini-excavators and loaders. Extending its CRM system to include those divisions could create new opportunities for cross-selling. The company began to do just that in late 2002, and already the number of new leads generated has been substantial—an additional $6.2 million worth of leads for other Ingersoll-Rand products in the first year. That success has led to even broader plans.

Today, Ingersoll-Rand wants to use CRM as the glue to bind together all four of its operating sectors (which represent more than 100 worldwide manufacturing facilities) so that the company can operate as one integrated enterprise in the eyes of its customers. Like Aviall, Ingersoll-Rand may utterly transform its business through its investments in CRM, but again the changes will come in carefully measured stages, with success building on success.

**Business Before Technology**

You’ll have noticed that we haven’t spent a lot of time describing the details of the technology in this article. That’s intentional. In evaluating and designing CRM systems, business needs should take precedence over technological capabilities. Managers should not be distracted by what CRM software can do; they should concentrate instead on what it should do—both for their companies and for their customers (see the sidebar, “McDonald’s Tech Turnaround”). Fortunately, as competition among CRM vendors is increasing the software is rapidly becoming more flexible. It’s not yet simple to install a CRM system, but the technology is getting more dependable, the implementation process is becoming more streamlined, and the failure rate is going down.

That gives companies the freedom to apply CRM with greater precision, targeting critical gaps in their customer relationship cycles where performance suffers. By setting priorities for their information requirements carefully, making sure they’re guided by overall customer strategy, companies can launch highly disciplined CRM efforts that will have a greater impact with lower investment and less risk. CRM, in other words, is coming to resemble any other valuable management tool, and the keys to successful implementation are also becoming familiar: strong executive and business-unit leadership, careful strategic planning, clear performance measures, and a coordinated program that combines organizational and process changes with the application of new technology. No longer a black hole, CRM is becoming a basic building block of corporate success.
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